Swarthmore Sociology & Anthropology
Thesis Guide ~ 2018-19

Who must write a thesis?
All Sociology/Anthropology majors are required to write a two-credit thesis in order to graduate. This is normally accomplished during the senior year, during which the student registers for SOAN 096 in the first semester of the senior year and SOAN 097 in the second semester. By enrolling for the thesis, the student is automatically enrolled in the Thesis Writers Master Class, SOAN 098.

Those majors planning to spend a semester student teaching are advised to develop a modified schedule, scheduling their thesis work for their non-teaching semesters. This usually means doing the first semester of thesis work during the second semester of their junior year.

All students writing a senior thesis with the Department (special majors anchored in this department, special majors with Educational Studies, course and honors majors*, and honors minors who have chosen the thesis option) meet every Monday evening during the first semester of the year.

Why do we require our students to write theses?
The thesis plays a key role in developing critical skills that will serve you in any job that you may have, in graduate school, and in life. They include:

- Reading well, writing clearly, and conducting research.
- Designing a research proposal, making changes in the field as necessary, and bringing a project from vision to completion.
- Framing a research question and choosing a methodology that is appropriate for answering it.
- Deepening knowledge of cross-cultural issues around social processes such as race and ethnic relations, globalization, violence, gender dynamics, etc.
- Knowing when to work collaboratively and independently.
- Expressing ideas at an abstract and theoretical level.
- Providing an opportunity for in-depth knowledge of a particular area of the world or social issue.
- Working closely and well with someone who is charged with evaluating your work.

* At the discretion of Department faculty, students pursuing Honors who are not making good progress on their thesis will be withdrawn from the Honors Program on December 1.
Integrating independent research, an internship, or community service into academic work.

**What are the most important dates of the year?**

Permission to conduct Human Subject Research - Talk with your advisor before the summer break about completing the Department Review Process ([http://www.swarthmore.edu/sociology-anthropology](http://www.swarthmore.edu/sociology-anthropology)) or the College Wide IRB process ([http://www.swarthmore.edu/institutional-review-board](http://www.swarthmore.edu/institutional-review-board)). Applications to conduct Human Subjects Research over the summer must be submitted to the DRC no later than May 20th, no exceptions as the department review committee does NOT meet over the summer.

All chapters should be submitted in electronic form to Stacey Hogge ([shogge1@swarthmore.edu](mailto:shogge1@swarthmore.edu)) and your thesis advisor on the due date for each chapter.

Proposal **DUE APRIL 18, 2018**

Revised Proposal **DUE SEPTEMBER 17, 2018 by noon**

Have submitted research proposal to DRC (departmental review committee) or IRB (institutional review board) on or before **Fall Break** (see pages 12-15)

1st Chapter **DUE October 29, 2018 by noon**

2nd Chapter **DUE November 20, 2018 by noon**

Posters **Due December 4, 2018 by noon**

**Primary elements for your Poster must include:**
- **Title**
- **Author**
- **Research Question/ Hypothesis**
- **Methodology**
- **Two or three authors from your Lit Review**
- **Preliminary Findings**
- **Acknowledgments**

Below are a few (5) posters we find particularly useful as guideposts for your own. Please view them before seeing your advisors.

[https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1wJKODdhEoFDpakDqJQEu-U0i57yidGgauALCA78pa6o/edit#slide=id.g13bbcd0c70_0_11](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1wJKODdhEoFDpakDqJQEu-U0i57yidGgauALCA78pa6o/edit#slide=id.g13bbcd0c70_0_11)
Poster Reception **December 6, 2018 @ 4:30 pm**

Outline 3rd Chapter **Due December 14, 2018 by noon**

3rd Chapter **Due January 28, 2019 by noon**

4th Chapter **Due March 4, 2019 by noon**

Full Rough Draft of all Theses **DUE April 1, 2019 by Noon**
(The copy of your rough draft should be included and abstract*, acknowledgements, table of contents, and proper citations, be they within text or footnote citations, and bibliography.)

After handing in your rough draft, you will schedule a defense (or conversation) with your thesis advisor and one other faculty member. The focus of the defense will be on the thesis itself, although the two readers may also ask you to discuss links between the thesis and your coursework in the department. You may be asked to provide revisions before submitting your final draft.

Final Draft of Thesis for **Honors Majors and Minors DUE April 30 by noon.**
Final Draft of Thesis for **Course or Special Majors DUE April 30 by noon.**

When you turn in your final draft, you will need to convert the whole thing to a PDF file and turn in one hard copy and one PDF file (email to Stacey Hogge – **shogge1@swarthmore.edu**).

**Thesis Proposal**

Your thesis proposal is the formal start to your senior thesis project. Contact your advisor the first week of classes to discover if s/he will meet with all advisees in a group or one-on-one. Your advisor will offer you feedback on your thesis proposal. Keep in mind that the overall proposal should be from three to five pages long. It should consist of a research statement (~300 words), methodology statement (~500 words), and an initial bibliography (~5-10 sources). The sources must be books and/or scholarly articles; websites are inappropriate as scholarly sources at this point). The Thesis Writers Master Class will provide insight into thesis writing, and offer you structured writing time each class. If you have a laptop or i-Pad, always bring it with you to class. At the very least, bring a pen and notebook, because these sessions will largely be devoted to writing.

* Writing an Informative Abstract - [http://www.asanet.org/journals/abstract.cfm](http://www.asanet.org/journals/abstract.cfm)
Research Statement: The research statement should include a clear statement of the research question and explicitly point out the relevance of the study—this is informally called the answer to the question “So what and why should anyone care?” The statement should include specific connections to coursework in Sociology and/or Anthropology at the College and, if relevant at other institutions. The statement should be clear, evocative and concise. It might help to frame your research question as a paradox, such as "why have indigenous organizations in Bolivia declined while the number and quantity of funding sources has increased?" You might also frame the research question in terms of making connections, such as what has been the impact of neoliberal economic reforms in Bolivia on religious beliefs and festivals. In stating your research question you also want to make sure that you limit your variables. The question, "Was the decline of population in country X the result of government policies?" is easier to understand than, "Was the decline in population growth in country x related more to sex education, the distribution of birth control, the downturn in the economy, or all of these factors."

Make sure that your research question is researchable! In the research statement you should also mention the theoretical objectives of your study and, if possible, point out how you are bringing a fresh perspective to the question.

Methodology Statement: The methodology statement should emphasize how you plan on answering your research question. Do you plan on doing any observations or interviews? Do you expect to use content analysis, take videos and analyze them, run regressions, conduct surveys? Will you be reviewing documents, analyzing theories? What kind of documents, statistics, or materials do you need to answer your question? We expect that at this point in your career, you have taken a methods course and you will use the methods to which you’ve been exposed to investigate your questions. If you took a methods course that has nothing to do with your plan, the onus is on you to teach yourself that method with advice about readings from your advisor. In addition, any research that involves living human subjects must be reviewed either by the DRC (departmental review committee) or the college-wide IRB (institutional review board). We will send you the links to complete either of those forms. You may not begin your research until one of these committees has approved your research plan.

Several of you have done summer research and thus you already submitted approval from the DRC or the IRB. Because we expect each of our thesis writers to conduct original research, we know that you need to have completed that research by the close of the fall semester at the latest. It is, therefore, crucial to have your research plan approved by the end of October in order to complete your research, code your data, and begin analyzing it.
Initial Bibliography: Initially students might start their research by skimming a number of works related to their topic. An important aspect of formulating your research question, however, is to identify the major works that will inform your project. In conjunction with your faculty advisor and advice from the Social Sciences Reference Librarian, you should list ten works that will play a central role in framing your research.

What are you expecting me to get out of the thesis?

Here’s our rubric:

**Students will have a research question that:**
- demonstrates understanding of issues in the field they choose to study
- reveals an appreciation of sociology and/or anthropology, and if pursuing a special major, bridges at least one of the disciplines of their special major
- is justified using existing literature in the field
- is focused enough to limit the research but broad enough to provide for thoughtful exploration

**Students will design a study that allows them to engage with a research question or set of questions. As part of this process each student will:**
- identify appropriate data collection tools to answer the question
- build on methods used in the literature reviewed
- complete necessary IRB requests, if working with living human subjects
- collect and/or work with original data or primary source material
- analyze data in systematic ways (using literature, data, theoretical frames)

**Students will embed their research in an exploration of appropriate theory and research in the field and:**
- complete a literature review to frame and begin to examine the research question
- explore data in light of existing literature in the field
- identify (or construct) a conceptual framework that allows for a systematic exploration of the research question

**In the final draft of the thesis, students will produce:**
- an abstract of the thesis
- a statement of the research question
- a literature review that frames the question (discussing the relationship between this study and prior work in the field)
- a description of research methods
- an analysis of data (including a reflection on the limitations of the study)
• and a conclusion or set of conclusions

Finally, the thesis should be structurally coherent and well-argued with proper grammar and mechanics.

**SWARTHMORE COLLEGE**

**ELECTRONIC THESIS RELEASE FORM**

We now digitize every thesis, so you will be asked whether you want yours to remain on our "Swarthmore College shelf", as hard copies have in the past, or to be more widely available to the Tri-College community and beyond. You will have the opportunity to decide whether your thesis is available to ALL browsers or just to members of Tri-Co or Swarthmore only. Please be in touch with the department chair or your advisor if you have any questions about the thesis process.

*Please read the following carefully and initial the statement that reflects your wishes concerning electronic dissemination of your thesis, which will be deposited in the Swarthmore College Thesis Archive*<http://triceratops.brynmawr.edu/dspace/handle/10066/4098>.

**Thesis Permission**

_____ I grant Swarthmore College the non-exclusive, perpetual right to archive and provide unrestricted access to my thesis. I represent that the submission is my original work, and does not, to the best of my knowledge, infringe upon anyone’s copyright. **Select this option if you would like your thesis to be available to scholars worldwide.**

_____ As of January 1, _____ (year), I grant Swarthmore College the non-exclusive, perpetual right to archive and provide unrestricted access to my thesis. Prior to this date, access to my thesis will be limited to the Swarthmore College network. I represent that the submission is my original work, and does not, to the best of my knowledge, infringe upon anyone’s copyright. **Select this option if, after the stipulated delay above, you would like your thesis to be made available to scholars worldwide.**

_____ I grant Swarthmore College the non-exclusive, perpetual right to archive and provide limited (TriCollege network) access to my thesis. I represent that the submission is my original work, and does not, to the best of my knowledge, infringe upon anyone’s copyright. **Select this option if you do not want scholars outside of the TriCollege community of Bryn Mawr, Haverford, and Swarthmore Colleges to view your thesis.**

_____ I grant Swarthmore College the non-exclusive, perpetual right to archive and provide limited (Swarthmore College network) access to my thesis. I represent that the submission is my original work, and does not, to the best of my knowledge, infringe upon anyone’s copyright. **Select this option if you do not want scholars outside of the Swarthmore community to view your thesis.**
I do not grant Swarthmore College the right to archive or provide access to my thesis through the Swarthmore College Thesis Archive. Select this option if you do not want Swarthmore College to digitally archive and provide access to your thesis.

**Things to keep in mind as you are researching and writing:**

**Research Outline**

I. What is my research question?

A. What is already known?

1. Can I concisely state my research question (i.e. within a question or two)?

2. How can theory help me frame my research question?

3. How will my research add to or challenge what has already been said about this subject?

4. Do I know what is already in the literature on this topic? If not, do I know how to find out what has already been published? (Contact Sarah Elichko, Social Science Research Librarian—contact information below)

5. What kinds of research methods have other researchers used?
   - documents
   - observation/participant observation/ethnography?
   - interviews
   - surveys
   - experiments/natural experiments
   - historical studies
   - area studies

B. Is this topic measurable? Is the topic primarily about social theory or based on analysis of documents through discourse analysis?

1. What questions/variables will I use?

2. How do these questions help me garner information about my subject?

3. Can I draw a path analysis that will illustrate what I believe is the relationship between my questions and the topic?

C. How did I come to be interested in this question?

1. How much background information do I have about this topic?
2. How much personal experience do I have?
3. Do I need more background information before I develop my research question?
4. Why is this research interesting?
   a. Who else is interested in this research question and why?
   b. Does the research have social, political, and/or ethical implications?

D. Is my research comparative?

   1. Am I studying one setting or planning on comparing two different research settings?
   2. How will the difference between these two approaches affect the outcome of my research?

E. Who are the subjects of my research?

   1. What effect will my research have on my subjects?
   2. How disruptive will my research be on my subjects?
   3. To what effect will my presence at the research site influence my findings?

F. Who is my audience?

   1. Who will be interested in the results of my research?
   2. Who will use the information I gather and for what purposes?

II. How will I conduct my research?

A. What kinds of methodologies do I want to use?

   1. literature review and/or historical studies
   2. analysis of documents/discourse analysis
   3. analysis of social theory
   4. observation/participant observation/ethnography
   5. interviews
   6. surveys
   7. experiments (i.e. natural, situational)

B. What is the time frame for my research?

   1. Do I have a reasonable start and finish time table?
   2. Have I allowed for possible problems and setbacks?
   3. Have I allowed enough time to get clearance to speak to people and travel?
   4. Have I allotted enough time for my literature review and other library work?
   5. Do I have a clear sense of which documents I need to acquire?

C. Is my methodology feasible?
1. Do I need to get clearance to conduct research at this site?
2. Have I gone through proper channels to verify that clearance will be given?

**Checklists for Methodology**
Library Research: Contact Sarah Elichko: selichk1@swarthmore.edu
610-690-5786
- □ Have I found adequate information on my research topic?
- □ Do I trust the published information?
- □ Have I found varied sources and voices on the topic (i.e. perhaps not just formally published works, but primary materials as well)?

**Observation/Participant Observation/Ethnography**
- □ How long do I need to observe my research subjects to get adequate information on my research subjects?
- □ Will I have a position other than “researcher” among this population (i.e. will I be a teacher as well as a social scientist)?
- □ Have I gotten clearance for my research?
- □ Do I have contacts at the research site that will help me become acquainted with the place and the people?

**Interviews**
- □ How can I find people to interview? Do I need clearance?
- □ How representative are the people whom I find to interview of the wider population I am interested in studying?
- □ How many people must I interview to get the kind of information I need and to help assure a representative sample?
- □ Will my interviews be:
  1. unstructured
  2. semi-structured
  3. question-and-answer
  4. other
- □ How will I record the information I get from the interviews?
  1. videotaped
  2. audio only
  3. jotted notes
  4. recalled notes

**Surveys**
- □ Do I want to survey a portion of my population? Will the findings help support other types of research?
- □ Have other researchers conducted surveys on my population that I can analyze?
- □ Can I develop a questionnaire that will measure my research topic?
- □ Can I readily access my survey population?
- □ Do I have a reasonable means of distributing and collecting the survey?
Discourse Analysis
- Which documents or visual representations will serve as the basis of my study?
- How have others researched or discussed similar documents?
- Can I readily gain access to these documents or visual representations?
- Can I obtain background information on the documents/visual representations?
- What tools of discourse analysis can I bring to bear on the documents/visual representations?

Social Theory
- Who are the authors that I will focus on in discussing a theoretical issue?
- Which social dynamics and issues will inform my discussion (such as defining power and class)?
- Do I plan on developing a historical or comparative perspective on how certain theorists have grappled with social issues (such as a comparison of Marxist and Weberian notions of historical change)?
- What fresh perspective might I be able to bring to bear on important theoretical debates? Have other authors writing about the development of social theory analyzed similar issues?
- To what extent is the issue that you are exploring currently debated in the sociology and anthropology?

Visual Ethnography
- Which visual representations do you anticipate exploring (photographs, indigenous or community-based film, cinema, etc.)?
- Have others explored the issues that interest you (for example representations of gender in photographs of Native Americans at the turn of the century)?
- Do you plan on making your own film or collection of photographs and if so which style and form do you plan on using? To what extent is your production practice going to be embedded in local understandings of video production and photography?
- At what levels will you be able to conduct your research—production, semiotics, distribution, and reception.
- Do you plan to conduct interviews with filmmakers and or audiences of a particular work?

When Research Involves Human Subjects
If you are doing research with living humans for the summer and/or for your thesis, you must have that research approved by either the
Departmental Research Committee (DRC) or the Institutional Research Board (IRB). Consult with your advisor as to which is more appropriate.

Swarthmore Colleges Office of Institutional Research provides important information and guidelines for best research practices. Please refer to the website at: http://www.swarthmore.edu/x16001.xml

See the Department of Sociology & Anthropology webpage for links to the IRB’s guidelines and application and another to the DRC’s guidelines and application. In addition, you will have to complete the CITI training. CITI stands for Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative. It usually takes about 3 hours.

Many ethical concerns arise when human beings are the subjects of both physical and social research. Be sure to speak with your advisor about steps you can take to assure that your social science research meets the criteria for ethical research.

**Some Helpful References:**
For a review and more information on different research methodologies, please see Research Methods in Anthropology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches, H. Russel Bernard (AltaMira Press, New York, 2002)

**Some Helpful External Links:**

**For ethical guideline for conducting research followed by professional anthropologists and sociologists:**

American Anthropological Association
http://users.polisci.wisc.edu/schatzberg/ps919/AAA,%20Ethics,%202012.pdf

American Sociological Association
http://www.asanet.org/about/ethics.cfm

---

**SWARTHMORE COLLEGE**
Department of Sociology and Anthropology

**GUIDELINES FOR PAPERS**

The following guidelines are designed to help students write papers for courses in the Department of Sociology and Anthropology. The first three sections deal with academic honesty, while the fourth offers guidelines for citation and reference formats:

I. Academic Honesty
II. Examples of Mis-Used Sources
III. College Statement on Plagiarism
IV. Format for References

Paper writing is an important required part of most courses, both introductory and advanced, as well as seminars, offered by the Department of Sociology and Anthropology. These guidelines are intended to state clearly what is expected in paper writing. You may still on occasion find yourself to be genuinely uncertain as to how to handle some particular mechanical matter in the preparation of your paper. Please be sure to take your question to your instructor. We expect you to accept responsibility for seeking guidance.

I. ACADEMIC HONESTY*

In almost all successful paper writing, you will be drawing heavily on the ideas of others - usually as set forth in publications, but occasionally as learned by you from lectures or class discussion, conversation, or otherwise. Indeed, neglecting to take seriously into account important parts of the scholarly literatures(s) pertinent to your topic will result in an unsatisfactory paper. A major purpose of these guidelines is to be as clear as we can about the right and the wrong ways to use the ideas of others in your papers.

What follows is intended to supplement the statement on ACADEMIC HONESTY to be found on page 24 of the Student Handbook, and included on page 8 of these guidelines.

Though the rules of scholarly writing cannot be specified with absolute precision, the following guidelines are designed to help clarify your thinking in preparing and writing essays to submit in Department of Sociology and Anthropology courses.

The purpose of scholarly writing is to communicate facts, ideas, arguments, and conclusions to someone. The rules and customs of such writing are intended to aid clarity. For example, rules of acknowledgment are designed to specify your intellectual relationship to other authors whose works you have consulted and to indicate your intellectual debts.

Direct Quotation
When using the exact language of someone else to express a concept or a conclusion, use quotation marks or, if the passage is four lines or more in length, indent and single space without quotation marks. In either case, provide a reference, thus indicating your direct dependence and alerting the reader to the exact source of the quotation.

* This section is adapted directly from a statement issued by the Department of Religion, Swarthmore College.
Paraphrase

Problems of judgment concerning paraphrase arise, and the definition, "Paraphrase is putting another's thoughts into one's own words," does not solve all the problems.

a) Paraphrasing should not consist of the changing of a word here and there, an occasional inversion of word order, an alteration of verb tense or of punctuation. It is less troublesome and more honest to quote directly.

b) There is an appropriate type of paraphrase which serves to abbreviate. It is better called summary. At the conclusion of your summary of another's argument you should indicate where the argument you have just summarized can be found.

c) There is an appropriate type of paraphrase which serves to clarify the meaning of the text being paraphrased. This is usually part of an explicit discussion of a primary text, and if you have already indicated the source of that text, further reference is unnecessary.

Of course, we all learn a great deal from others and from the sources we read, and much of this we assimilate until it becomes a part of us. Occasionally students will avoid reading widely in the subject area out of fear that their ideas will be pre-empted, that they will discover "everything has been said." But in virtually every field of study the spectrum of educated opinion is wide, and there is originality in your own mastering of the range of debate and your exercising of critical judgment between the competing options.

Questions of intellectual and scholarly integrity sometimes are not easy to answer. If you are unsure, you should discuss the questions with the instructor of the course or with the Humanities and/or Social Sciences Librarian. Be sure to familiarize yourself with the college statement on plagiarism, since the College considers cheating on examinations and plagiarizing the works of others in papers and term essays most serious offenses punishable by suspension or expulsion.

II. EXAMPLES OF MIS-USED SOURCES*

A. DIRECT PLAGIARISM

Source Material

From: Emotion in the Human Face: Guidelines for Research and an Integration of Findings by Paul Elman, Wallace V. Friesen, and Phoebe Ellsworth (New York: Pergamon Press, Inc.), p. 1

(Psychology source)

* This section is adapted directly from "Some Notes on Plagiarism and How to Avoid It," issued by Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois.
The human face -- in repose and in movement, at the moment of death as in life, in silence and in speech, when alone and with others, when seen or sensed from within, in actuality or as represented in art or recorded by the camera -- is a commanding, complicated, and at times confusing source of information. The face is commanding because of its very visibility and omnipresence. While sounds and speech are intermittent, the face even in repose can be informative. And, except by veils or masks, the face cannot be hidden from view. There is no facial maneuver equivalent to putting one's hands in one's pockets. Further, the face is the location for sensory inputs, life-necessary intake, and communicative output. The face is the site for the sense receptors of taste, smell, sight, and hearing, the intake organs for food, water, and air, and the output location for speech. The face is also commanding because of its role in early development; it is prior to language in the communication between parent and child.

Use of Source (underlining indicates direct plagiarism)
Many experts agree that the human face, whether in repose or in movement, is a commanding, complicated, and sometimes confusing source of information. The face is commanding because it's visible and omnipresent. Although sounds and speech may be intermittent, the face even in repose may give information. And, except by veils or masks, the face cannot be hidden. Also, the face is the location for sensory inputs, life-supporting intake, and communication.

Comment
The plagiarized passage is an almost verbatim copy of the original source. The writer has compressed the book's opinions into fewer sentences by omitting several phrases and sentences. But this compression does not disguise the writer's reliance on this text for the concepts he passes off as his own. The writer tries to disguise his indebtedness by beginning with the phrase "Many experts agree that...." This reference to "many experts" makes it appear that the writer's work is a summary of generally held opinions, and it could seem that the writer was somehow acknowledging the work of scholars "too numerous to mention." The plagiarized passage makes several subtle changes in language (e.g., it changes "visibility and omnipresence" to "it's visible and omnipresent). The writer has made the language seem more informal in keeping with his own writing style. He ignores any embellishments or additional information given in the source-passage. He contents himself with borrowing the sentence about how only masks and veils can hide the face, without using the follow-up elaboration about there not being a "facial equivalent to putting one's hands in one's pockets." He also reduces the source's list of the face's diverse activities at the end of the paragraph.

Had the writer credited the authors of the Emotions book in his text or in a footnote, and enclosed the borrowed material in quotation marks, this would have been a legitimate use of a source.
In a relatively open and fluid society there will be few characteristics of lower-class speech that are not also present (albeit to a lesser extent) in the speech of the working and lower middle classes. Whether we look to phonological features such as those examined by Labov or to morphological units such as those reported by Fischer (1958) (Fischer studied the variation between -in' and ing for the present participle ending, i.e. runnin' vs. running -- and found that the former realization was more common when children were talking to each other than when they were talking to him, more common among boys than among girls, and more common among "typical boys" than among "model boys"), we find not a clear-cut cleavage between the social classes but a difference in rate of realization of particular variants of particular variables for particular contexts. Even the widely publicized distinction between the "restricted code" of lower-class speakers and the "elaborate code" of middle-class speakers (Bernstein 1964, 1966) is of this type, since Bernstein includes the cocktail party and the religious service among the social situations in which restricted codes are realized. Thus, even in the somewhat more stratified British setting the middle class is found to share some of the features of what is considered to be "typically" lower-class speech. Obviously, then, "typicality," if it has any meaning at all in relatively open societies, must refer largely to repertoire range rather than to unique features of the repertoire.

Comment
While this passage contains relatively few direct borrowing from the original source, all its ideas and opinions are lifted from it. The writer hides his dependency on the source by translating its academic terms into more credible language for a novice in sociology. For example, the plagiarist steers clear of sophisticated terms like "phonological features," morphological units," and "repertoire range." However, his substitutions are in themselves clues to his plagiarism, since they over-generalize the source's meaning. The writer seems to acknowledge secondary sources...
when he refers to Labov's and Fischer's studies, but he obviously has no first-hand knowledge of their research. If he had consulted these studies, he should have footnoted them, rather than pretending that both he and his audience would be completely familiar with them. He intertwines his own opinions with the source and forms a confused, plagiarized mass.

The writer should have acknowledged his indebtedness to his source by eliminating borrowed phrases and crediting his paragraph as a paraphrase of the original material.

C. PARAPHRASE
Source Material
From: Cliff's Notes on The Sun Also Rises by Ernest Hemingway

THE DISCIPLINE OF THE CODE HERO
If the old traditional values are no good anymore, if they will not serve man, what values then will serve man? Hemingway rejects things of abstract qualities -- courage, loyalty, honesty, bravery. These are all just words. What Hemingway would prefer to have are concrete things. For Hemingway a man can be courageous in battle on Tuesday morning at 10 o'clock. But this does not mean that he will be courageous on Wednesday morning at 9 o'clock. A single act of courage does not mean that a man is by nature courageous. Or a man who has been courageous in war might not be courageous in some civil affair or in some other human endeavor. What Hemingway is searching for are absolute values, which will be the same, which will be constant at every moment of every day and every day of every year.

Ultimately therefore, for Hemingway the only value that will serve man is an innate faculty of self-discipline. This is a value that grows out of man's essential being, in his inner nature. If a man has discipline to face one thing on one day he will possess that same degree of discipline on another day and in another situation. Thus Francis Macomber in the short story "The Short, Happy Life of Francis Macomber," has faced a charging animal, and once he has had the resolution to stand and confront his charging beast, he has developed within himself a discipline that will serve him in all situations. This control can function in almost any way in a Hemingway work.

Use of Source
Hemingway tries to discover the values in life that will best serve man. Since Hemingway has rejected traditional values, he himself establishes a kind of "code" for his heroes. This code is better seen than spoken of. The Hemingway hero doesn't speak of abstract qualities like courage or honesty. He lives them. But this living of values entails continual performance -- the Hemingway hero is always having his values put to the test.
How can the hero be up to this continual test? Hemingway stresses the faculty of self-discipline as the backbone of all other virtues. Self-discipline places man's good qualities on a continuum. The dramatic change in Francis Macomber in "The Short, Happy Life of Francis Macomber" stems from his new-found self-control than from any accidental combination of traits.

Comment
This illustrates plagiarism since the author has used the notion of the "Hemingway code hero" presented in Cliff's Notes as the sole basis for his own essay. He has absorbed his source's concepts, re-phrased them, and perhaps, made them seem simpler. But there is a one-to-one relationship between the development of ideas in the Cliff's Notes and the plagiarist's rendition. The first two sentences of the plagiarists are directly borrowed from his source; the remaining sentences are more artfully disguised. The worst features of this idea-copying is that it seems to be the end product of a close reading of Hemingway, rather than the stealing of a source. By citing Hemingway's "Short, Happy Life," the writer makes it appear that his comments are based on this short story.

The writing here would be acceptable if he had written the same paraphrases with the proper acknowledgment of his source.

D. INSUFFICIENT ACKNOWLEDGMENT
Source Material

The tenacious particularism of the Italian states gave rise to a wide variety of constitutional solutions and class structures throughout Italy. Even conquered territories and those swallowed up by bigger neighboring powers often managed to retain much of their internal organization as it had been. If power changed hands, the instruments and forms of power usually remained the same. Since the economic needs of such territories did not suddenly alter with a change of government or master, those classes which had been important before the change tended to continue to be important afterwards as well. Only when the nature of the change was economic and social might there have been a reversal in the relationships of classes; but even in this there was no sudden revolution in the structure of classes.

Use of Source
In his comprehensive study, Renaissance Italy, Peter Lave discusses the peculiar organization of Renaissance city-states:

The tenacious particularism of the Italian states gave rise to a wide variety of constitutional solutions and class structures throughout Italy. Even conquered territories and those
swallowed up by bigger neighboring powers often managed to retain much of their internal organization as it has been...\textsuperscript{1}

This means that if power changed hands, the instruments and forms of power usually remained the same. Since the economic needs of such territories did not suddenly alter with a change of government or master, those classes which had been important before the change tended to continue to be important afterwards only when the nature of the change was economic and social might there have been a reversal in the relationships of classes; but even in this there was no sudden revolution in the structure of classes.

Comment
This half-crediting of a source is a common form of plagiarism. It stems either from a desire to credit one's source and copy it too or from ignorance as to where to footnote. The general rule is to footnote after rather than before your source material. In this case, the plagiarist credits historian Peter Laven with two sentences and then continues using the author without giving acknowledgment. The writer disguises the direct plagiarism as a paraphrase by using the falsely-explanatory phrase. "This means that . . ." in the third sentence. This example of plagiarism is especially reprehensible because the writer seemingly acknowledges his source -- but not enough.

THE COLLEGE STATEMENT OF PLAGIARISM

Academic honesty is a foundation of academic life. One of its tenets is that all scholars present as their work only that which is truly their own. For students this standard embraces all work submitted for academic purposes, not only examinations, laboratory reports, term papers, essays, etc., handed in for academic credit, but also papers written for seminar or for class discussion, whether graded or not. Transgressions of the principle are known as plagiarism, the use of another's ideas, language, or thoughts and representation of them as one's own.

When an instructor suspects plagiarism in a piece of written work, the instructor should present the evidence to the student who submitted it. If the student is unable to remove the instructor's suspicion of guilt, the instructor is required to submit the case to the Dean for consideration by the College Judiciary Committee. In its deliberations, the Committee considers the following to be evidence of plagiarism in a piece of writing: 1) the failure to put quotation marks around (or when appropriate to indent and to single-space) words, symbols, phrases, sentences, quoted verbatim from any source, whether published or not; 2) the failure to acknowledge one's use of reworded or restated material--even when loosely paraphrased; 3) inclusion of another's data, ideas, or arguments when not acknowledged by footnote and reference.
Writers may refer to a handbook on scholarly writing for information about correct citation procedures. The MLA Handbook is particularly useful since Section 6 also provides examples of plagiarism. Supplementary Departmental regulations governing joint projects, etc., may be found on file in departmental offices. The informal nature of some writing may obviate the necessity of rigorously formal citation, but still requires honest attribution to original authors of all borrowed materials. Students should feel free to consult with instructors whenever there is doubt as to proper documentation.

Fear of being charged with plagiarism need not inhibit anyone from appropriately using another’s ideas or data in a piece of writing. Even direct quotation frequently serves as an effective device in developing an argument. Academic honesty requires only that writers properly acknowledge their debts to other authors at least by means of quotation marks, footnotes, and references, if not also with in-text phraseology like "Einstein argued in 1909 that..." or "As Melville implies in Chapter 3 of Moby Dick..." Such usage is fully within the tradition of forthright academic work.

Because plagiarism is considered so serious a transgression, it is the opinion of the faculty that for the first offense failure in the course and, as appropriate, suspension for a semester or deprivation of the degree in that year is suitable; for a second offense the penalty should normally be expulsion. A full description of the College judicial procedure may be obtained from the Office of the Dean.

IV. FORMAT FOR REFERENCES*

The following are guidelines for the formatting of references both within the text and the end of a paper. Rather than using footnotes for citations, a common practice in the social sciences is to use parenthetical references to author, date, and page numbers within the text, followed by a bibliography at the end of the paper, which contains complete information for each citation. Footnotes are then used only for substantive information. The guidelines that follow are taken from the American Journal of Sociology and the Modern Language Association (MLA), which uses the social science style.

For information about the formatting of footnotes and bibliographies in the traditional style, see Kate L. Turabian, A Manual of Term Papers, Theses and Dissertations.

Format of References in Text: All references to monographs, articles, and statistical sources can be identified at the appropriate point in the text by last name of author, year of publication, and pagination where appropriate, all within parentheses. Footnotes are to be used only for substantive observations. Specify subsequent citations of the same

* Reference format from the American Journal of Sociology and Modern Language Association (MLA).
source in the same way as the first one; do not use "ibid." "op cit.," or "loc. cit."

1. When author’s name is in the text: Duncan (1959). When author's name is not in text: (Gouldner 1963).

2. Pagination follows year of publication: (Lipset 1964, pp. 61-65).

3. For more than three authors, use "et al." For institutional authorship, supply minimum identification from the beginning of the complete citation: (U.S. Bureau of Census 1963, p. 117).

4. With more than one reference to an author in the same year, distinguish them by use of letters (a, b) attached to the year of publication: (1965a).

5. Enclose a series of references with a single pair of parentheses, separated by semicolons.

**Format of References:** List all items alphabetically by author (providing the full list of multiple authors) and, within author(s), by year of publication, in an appendix titled "References." Examples follow:


**Sources from the Web:**

**Scholarly Project**  

**Information Database**  

**Personal Site**  
Book

Article in a Journal

Article in a Magazine

Posting to a Discussion List

Style Guide

American Sociological Association http://www.asanet.org/students/index.cfm

From the Librarians at Cornell University:

WHAT IS AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY?
An annotated bibliography is a list of citations to books, articles, and documents. Each citation is followed by a brief (usually about 150 words) descriptive and evaluative paragraph, the annotation. The purpose of the annotation is to inform the reader of the relevance, accuracy, and quality of the sources cited.

THE PROCESS
Creating an annotated bibliography calls for the application of a variety of intellectual skills: concise exposition, succinct analysis, and informed library research.

First, locate and record citations to books, periodicals, and documents that may contain useful information and ideas on your topic. Briefly examine and review the actual items. Then choose those works that provide a variety of perspectives on your topic.
Cite the book, article, or document using the appropriate style.

Write a concise annotation that summarizes the central theme and scope of the book or article. Include one or more sentences that (a) evaluate the authority or background of the author, (b) comment on the intended audience, (c) compare or contrast this work with another you have cited, or (d) explain how this work illuminates your bibliography topic.