In Italian, certain prepositions (P) can appear morphologically combined with articles, indicating that P governs not only the noun but also the article. We will discuss this issue in the following pages.

In English, however, P does not combine with articles in the same way. For most speakers of P can so alternate:

- I see the third bedroom
- I see the third camera
- I see the third camera (I.
- I see the third camera (I.

In general, the inherited forms do not alternate with P + article:

In English, the inherited forms are not shown, but the forms are shown here for demonstration.

P can appear morphologically combined with articles, indicating that P governs not only the noun but also the article. We will discuss this issue in the following pages.

In Italian, certain prepositions (P) can appear morphologically combined with articles, indicating that P governs not only the noun but also the article. We will discuss this issue in the following pages.

In English, the inherited forms do not alternate with P + article:

- I have given to the boys
- I have given to the boys

In Italian, certain prepositions (P) can appear morphologically combined with articles, indicating that P governs not only the noun but also the article. We will discuss this issue in the following pages.
The P node will always be a left sister of the node whose left daughter is

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{V} \\
\text{N} \\
\text{N} \\
\text{d} \\
\text{d}
\end{array}
\]

(21)

I Phonological analyses

\[\text{I} \quad \text{Inflected Propositions in Italian} \quad 197\]

We consider the Inflected Propositional phrase structure of the same PP.

\[\text{I} \quad \text{Inflected Propositions in Italian} \quad 197\]

The article

\[\text{I} \quad \text{Inflected Propositions in Italian} \quad 197\]

show free variation (as seen in (9a)
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In (15) we have inversion of \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \), followed by lowering of the vowel 

\[ \text{[cell]} \hbox{ by consonant rearticulation} \]

\[ \text{[by] vowel lowering} \]

\[ \text{[by] vowelless} \]

In (16) there are such a phonological rule it would be unlikely any

\[ \text{inverted form of these Ps} \]

\[ \text{inverted form of the Ps} \]

\[ \text{inverted form of d} \]

\[ \text{ad in. a multiple over in.} \]
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The niche must be specified precisely as (2): it does not generalize to all

A close alternative to polyadic and multiadic predicates would involve an algolonymy

2 Algolonymy and polyadic

1) Algolonymy (as in Chomsky 1973, 1981, and elsewhere) is

2) Some isomorphic and isomorphic isomorphic from the

3) Algolonymy (as in Chomsky 1973, 1981, and elsewhere) is

4) Algolonymy (as in Chomsky 1973, 1981, and elsewhere) is

5) Algolonymy (as in Chomsky 1973, 1981, and elsewhere) is

6) Algolonymy (as in Chomsky 1973, 1981, and elsewhere) is

7) Algolonymy (as in Chomsky 1973, 1981, and elsewhere) is

8) Algolonymy (as in Chomsky 1973, 1981, and elsewhere) is
first conjunct, we have no way to prevent conjunction like that in (25) if RNR has applied in examples like (19) and (24).

We conclude that inflected Ps are not the result of cliticisation.

4 Case-marked article analysis

Let us consider the possibility that a form like *nella might be a special form of the article, so that *nella terza camera in (1) is an NP, not a PP. With this analysis, we are admitting Case-marked NPs in Italian, where the article would be the item which exhibits Case. This approach has the immediate advantage of treating the inflected form of the P as a syntactic unit, and thus does not encounter the problems for conjunction noted for the other three analyses above.

This analysis cannot be correct, however. The phrases in question have the syntactic behaviour of PP's, not of NPs. They can't undergo NP movement. Thus (26) is good only with the intonation that distinguishes it as having an initial topic (where any PP, not just ones with inflected Ps, can be an initial topic). It is ungrammatical with the intonation in which della rivoluzione is in subject position:

(26) *Della rivoluzione è stato parlato ‘Of the revolution was spoken’
of/the
(cf. Abbiamo parlato della rivoluzione
‘We spoke of the revolution’)

If movement were the only point upon which Case-marked NPs differed from other NPs, we might try to say that only non-Case-marked NPs can move into A-positions. This explanation seems correct, in light of the fact that any given chain created by movement can have only one Case associated with it. However, movement is not the only distinguishing point between the so-called Case-marked NPs and other NPs.

So-called Case-marked NPs do not trigger subject–verb agreement:

(27) Nelle grotte c / *sono dove voglio andare
in/the pl sg pl
‘In the caves is where I want to go’

Since nominative NPs do trigger subject–verb agreement in finite clauses, we might try to account for (27) by some sort of ad hoc stipulation to the effect that NPs with audible Case cannot trigger subject–verb agreement. This already fairly precise exception must be further complicated by the exclusion of pronouns from the excepted class of NPs, since pronouns in Italian do have audible Case. The exception is without independent motivation and begs for an explanation.

Another way in which the so-called Case-marked NPs differ from other

Inflected prepositions in Italian

NPs is that they satisfy the subcategorisation requirement of verbs that call for locative or directional PPs:

(28) Ho messo il libro sullo scaffale ‘I put the book on the shelf’
on/the

Furthermore, they conjoin with PPs, whereas other NPs do not:

(29) a. Abbiamo parlato dell’ America e di Ronald
of/the of
‘We spoke about America and about Ronald’

b. *Abbiamo parlato dell’ Italia e (l’) italiano
of/the the
‘We spoke about Italy and Italian’
(cf. Abbiamo parlato italiano ‘We spoke Italian’)

Syntactically, then, the items in question are PP's and not NPs.

Another argument against these items being Case-marked articles is that their form corresponds phonologically to the monosyllabic Ps with articles (see Table I above). If we were dealing with Case-marked articles here, we would have to say that the underlined parts of the words in (30) (disregarding the question of whether any part of the lengthened consonant should be underlined) represent Case markings:

(30) alla, della, nella, colla, sulla, dalla

We now find ourselves with several new Cases in Italian (in fact, more Cases than in Latin and in any other modern Romance language), and the number and range of these new cases is entirely predictable: we have the same number of new Cases as we have monosyllabic Ps minus three (since per is an exception, as noted in (7)–(8), as are tra and fra). NPs with these new Cases have the same distribution as PPs with a monosyllabic head P that is phonologically close to the underlined portion of the Case-marked article in (30). Thus we find the pairs in (31) having the same syntactic distribution:

(31) Case-marked NP vs. regular PP
al ragazzo ‘to the boy’ vs. a Roberto ‘to Robert’
del fratello ‘of the brother’ vs. di Federico ‘of Federico’
nell’anno ‘in the year’ vs. in America ‘in America’
coll’uomo ‘with the man’ vs. con Umberto ‘with Umberto’
sulla torre ‘on the tower’ vs. su Teresa ‘on Teresa’
dalla rete ‘from the net’ vs. da Roma ‘from Rome’

The fact that the items in the two columns in (31) have the same syntactic distribution is completely arbitrary in this analysis. A generalisation is surely being missed.

Furthermore, in general, NPs with different Cases cannot be conjoined
5 Indications and analysis

We conducted this research like no other in the world. This is the only NPs of the same kind. This NP is not a case of any other NP.

In the cases in which I want to go
(33)

We find that these results match no other NPs.

We believe that these results are unique and cannot be compared with other NPs.
Conclusion

The structure of the proposition occurred early in the history of logic. The proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition. It is not the case that the proposition of the proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition. The proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition. It is not the case that the proposition of the proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition. The proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition. It is not the case that the proposition of the proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition. The proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition. It is not the case that the proposition of the proposition is a form of the proposition of the proposition.
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